Type 2 Diabetes Update: Incorporating the Latest Strategies Into Your Practice

Silvio E. Inzucchi, MD

Professor of Medicine, Endocrinology Clinical Director, Section of Endocrinology Director, Yale Diabetes Center Director, Endocrinology & Metabolism Fellowship Director, Yale Affiliated Hospitals Yale School of Medicine New Haven, CT

CONTINUING EDUCATION COMPANY

Disclosure

Consultant: Astra Zeneca; Bayer; Boehringer Ingelheim; Lilly; Merck; Novo Nordisk; Pfizer Lectures: Astra Zeneca; Boehringer Ingelheim

CONTINUING EDUCATION COMPANY

Silvio Inzucchi, MD Type 2 Diabetes Update

OBJECTIVES

1) Describe the current landscape of non-insulin therapies for T2D management, with a specific focus on which glucose lowering medications have confirmed cardiac and kidney benefits.

2) Discuss how to individualize care, including risks/benefits of these therapies

3) Offer a framework to move through a T2D office visit effectively& efficiently













Agent Class	Mechanism(s) of Action	Advantages	Disadvantages	Cost
Biguanides ⊘ Metformin	 Activates AMP-kinase ↓ Hepatic glucose production 	 Extensive experience No hypoglycemia Weight neutral ? \$\u2265 CVD events 	 Diarrhea, abdominal pain Lactic acidosis B-12 deficiency Contraindications 	Low
Sulfonylureas Glyburide Glipizide Glimepiride	 Closes KATP channels ↑ Insulin secretion 	 Extensive experience Microvascular risk 	 Hypoglycemia Weight gain Low durability ?↓ Ischemic preconditioning 	Low
TZDs Pioglitazone Rosiglitazone Properties of	 Activates PPAR-γ ↑ Insulin sensitivity f glucose-lowering m 	 No hypoglycemia Durability ↓ TGs, ↑ HDL-C ↓ CVD events (pio) eds for T2DM 	 Weight gain Edema / HF Bone fractures ? ↑ MI (rosi) ? Bladder ca (pio) 	Low

Agent Class	Mechanism(s) of Action	Advantages	Disadvantages	Cost
DPP-4 Inhibitors Sitagliptin Saxagliptin Linagliptin Alogliptin	 Inhibits DPP-4 Increases GLP-1, GIP 	 No hypoglycemia Well tolerated 	 Modest ↓ A1c ? Pancreatitis Urticaria 	High
SGLT-2 Inhibitors	 Inhibits renal SGLT-2 Increases glucosuria 	 No hypoglycemia Weight loss BP CVD events HF hospitalizations CKD progression 	 Modest ↓ A1c Polyuria/Dehydration Genital mycotic infections ? UTIs ? Fournier's gangrene ? Amputations (cana) Fractures (cana) Euglycemic DKA 	High

Note: Bold denotes FDA indications for organ protection (CV, renal)

Properties of glucose-lowering meds for T2DM

Agent Class	Mechanism(s) of Action	Advantages	Disadvantages	Cost
GLP-1 receptor agonists (RA) Exenatide Liraglutide Lixisenatide Dulaglutide Semaglutide Tirzepatide*	 Activates GLP-1 receptor ↑ Insulin, ↓ glucagon ↓ gastric emptying ↑ satiety 	 Weight loss No hypoglycemia ?↑ Beta cell mass ↓ CVD events ↓ CKD progression 	 Nausea/vomiting/diarrhea ? Pancreatitis ↑ Gallbladder events Medullary ca (rodents) Injectable (most) 	High
Insulin Glargine, Degludec NPH Regular Lispro†, Aspart†, Glulisine Inhaled Pre-Mixed † also available in ultra-rapidforms	 Activates insulin receptor ↑ Glucose disposal ↓ Hepatic glucose production 	 Universally effective Unlimited efficacy ↓ Microvascular risk 	 Hypoglycemia Weight gain ? Mitogenicity Injectable (most) Training requirements 'Stigma' 	V A I A B L E
Properties of	f glucose-lowering m	eds for T2DM	lenotes FDA indications for organ protectior	n (CV, renal)









A Quarter Century of Outcome Trials in Diabetes

1. More intensive glucose control <u>**V**'s micro-</u>vascular complication risk in both T1D (DCCT, 40-75%) and T2D ⁴ (UKPDS, -25%), mainly for retinal & kidney disease.





2. Impact of intensive glucose control itself on <u>macro-</u>vascular complications in T2DM is small to non-existent (RRR ~15%), and solely on non-fatal MI. No stroke, HF, or mortality benefit. (Impact may be larger in T1D, but data are not robust.)



3. Some data (i.e., the ACCORD trial) has suggested an actual <u>hin CV</u> mortality when overly stringent strategies are employed in high-risk patients.

4. Re: older drugs, SUs & insulin are neutral from a CV perspective. Metformin may have CV benefits, but studies to date are small & old. Pioglitazone has clear anti-atherosclerotic properties - but counter-balanced by side effects.









T2D Update: Incorporating the Latest Strategies1. Pathogenesis of T2D 2. Major T2D Medication Categories 3. Calibrating A1c Targets 4. Older T2D Guidelines (as context) 5. CV / Renal Impact of T2D Therapies 6. Updated T2D Guidelines 7. Diabetes Office Visit Checklist

Overv	Overview of Major Glucose-Lowering Classes for T2D								
Classes	Generic Names	∳ A1c	Mechanism(s)	Positive(s)	Negative(s)	Cost			
Insulin	Degludec, Glargine, Detemir, NPH, Regular, Lispro, Aspart, Glulisine	No limit	Replaces deficient insulin supply	No ceiling; most titratable agent	Hypo, weight gain	highly variable			
SU 🥏	Glyburide, Glipizide, Glimepiride	1-1.5%	↑ endogenous insulin production	Extensive experience	Hypo, weight gain	\$			
Metformin 🥏	Metformin	1-1.5%	↓ hepaticglucose production (? others)	±Wt loss, no hypo, ↓CV events(?)	GI, lactic acidosis, B-12 deficiency	\$			
TZD 🥏	Rosiglitazone, Pioglitazone*	1-1.5%	Enhances peripheral insulin sensitivity	Durability, no hypo, ↓ CV events*, ↓ NASH	Weight gain, edema, HF, bone fxs, ?bladder ca*	\$ - \$\$\$			
DPP-4 i	Sitagliptin, Saxagliptin, Alogliptin, Linagliptin	0.5-1%	↓ DPP-4 activity and ↑ incretins (GLP1, GIP)	Well-tolerated; no hypo	Urticaria, ? pancreatitis, ? HF [*]	\$\$\$\$			
GLP-1 ^a RA ^a (+GIP)	Exenatide, Liraglutide*, Dulaglutide*, Albiglutide*, Lixisenatide, Semaglutide*; Tirzepatide [®]	1-1.5%	^insulin,↓glucagon, ↓gastromotility, ↓hunger	Wt loss, no hypo, ↓ BP, ↓ MACE*	GI, ? Pancreatic/ biliary disease, ? medullary thyroid ca	\$\$\$\$			
SGLT2-i	Canagliflozin ^{*†#;} , Dapagliflozin ^{†#} Empagliflozin ^{*†#} , Ertugliflozin	0.5-1%	↑ urinary glucose excretion	Wt loss, no hypo, ↓ BP, ↓ MACE*, HF [†] , ↓ CKD#	Polyuria, GU, DKA, bone fxs [‡] , amputations [‡]	\$\$\$\$			

CV Impact of Major Glucose-Lowering Classes for T2D Generic Names ♦A1c Positive(s) Classes Mechanism(s) Cost Negative(s) Hypo, weight gain No Insulin Regular, Lispro, Aspart, Gluli insulin supply SU production Metformin 1-1.5% ↓ hepatic glucos ±Wt loss, no hypo, GI, lactic acidosis, Metformin production (? ot \downarrow CV events(?) **B-12 deficiency** Ø Rosiglitazone, Pioglitazone* Durability, no hypo, 1-1.5% **Enhances periph** Weight gain, TZD CV events*, edema, HF, bone insulin sensitivit +/-↓ NASH fxs, ?bladderca* 0 0.5-1% DPP-4 activity and Sitagliptin, Saxagliptin, Well-tolerated; no Urticaria, DPP-4 i Alogliptin, Linagliptin уро Extensive data Exenatide, Liraglutide*, GLP-1^ª RA on CV impact of Dulaglutide*, Albiglutide*, Lixisenatide, Semaglutide*; ^a(+GIP) 2008 GUIDANCE TO these 3 classes! **INDUSTRY FOR** Canagliflozin**#*, Dapagliflozin*# t loss, no hypo, Polyuria, GU, DKA, \$\$\$\$ SGLT2-i CV SAFETY TRIALS Empagliflozin*^{+#}, Ertugliflozin BP,↓MACE*, HF⁺, bone fxs[‡], ↓ скр# amputations[‡]



23











CV DEATH	Treatment Rate/1000 patient-years	Placebo Rate/1000 patient-years		Hazard ratio (95% Cl)
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	12.4	20.2	⊢ ●−1	0.62 (0.49-0.77)
CANVAS Program	11.6	12.8	⊢●-	0.87 (0.72-1.06)
DECLARE-TIMI 58	7.0	7.1	⊢.●	0.98 (0.82-1.17)
CREDENCE	19.0	24.4	⊢ ●──	0.78 (0.61-1.00)
VERTIS CV	17.6	19.0	⊢●	0.92 (0.77-1.10)
Pooled estimate (Q statistic $P = 0.02$; $I^2 = 6^2$	ł.3%)		•	HR 0.85 (0.78–0.93
		0.25	0.5 1.0	0 2.0
		Favo	ors Treatment	Favors Placebo



Trial (SGLT2i)	Pop.	1 Outcome	RRR (p)	Comments			
DAPA-HF (dapa)	HFrEF	HHF*, CV mortality	26% (p<0.001)	Effective in both T2D, non-DM			
EMPEROR-Reduced (empa)	HFrEF	HHF, CV mortality	25% (p<0.0001)	Effective in both T2D, non-DM			
EMPEROR-Preserved (empa)	HFpEF	HHF*, CV mortality	21% (p<0.001)	Effective in both T2D, non-DM			
DELIVER (dapa)	HFpEF	HHF*, CV mortality	18% (p<0.001)	Effective in both T2D, non-DM			
SCORED ⁺ (sota)	DKD	HHF*, CV mortality	26% (p<0.001)	T2D only			
SOLOIST ⁺ (sota)	Acute HF	HHF*, CV mortality	33% (p<0.001)	T2D only			
DAPA-ACT (dapa)	Acute HF	HHF*, CV mortality	underway	Both T2D and non-DM			
HF meta-analysis ¹	All HF	HHF,CV mortality	23% (p<0.001)	Effective, both T2D, non-DM			
*including urgent HF visits HFrEF=heart failure w/ reduced ejection fraction; HFpEF= heart failure w/ preserved ejection fraction; HHF= hospitalization for HF; DKD=diabetic kidney disease; CKD=chronic kidney disease; T2D=type 2 diabetes, CV=cardiovascular. dapa=dapagliflozi; empa=empagliflozi; sota=sotagliflozi; cana=canagliflozi							

Empagliflozin Significantly Reduced the Relative Risk of Incident or Worsening Nephropathy*† by 39% on Top of Standard of Care‡ 30 Placebo - Empagliflozin HR 0.61 25 Worsening Patients with event (%) (95% CI 0.53, 0.70) p<0.001 nephropathy 20 15 10 39% RRF 12 24 30 36 42 48 18 Months Empagliflozin is not indicated for the treatment of chronic kidney disease *Exploratory endpoint; ¹Defined as progression to macroalbuminuria, doubling of serum creatinine (accompanied by eGFR [MDRD] ≤45 ml/min/1.73 m²), initiation of renal replacement therapy or death from kidney disease; [‡]Standard of care included CV medications and glucose-lowering agents EMPA-REG given at the discretion of physicians; Kaplan-Meier estimate eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; MDRD, Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Wanner C et al. N Engl J Med 2016;375:323 OUTCOME®



RENAL COMPOSITE*	Treatment Rate/1000 patient-years	Placebo Rate/1000 patient-years		Hazard ratio (95% Cl)
EMPA-REG OUTCOME	6.3	11.5	⊢ ●──┤	0.54 (0.40-0.75)
CANVAS Program	5.5	9.0	⊢●	0.60 (0.47-0.77)
DECLARE-TIMI 58	3.7	7.0	⊢ ●	0.53 (0.43-0.66)
CREDENCE	27.0	40.4		0.66 (0.53-0.81)
VERTIS CV	9.3	11.5	⊢ ●	0.81 (0.64-1.03)
Pooled estimate (Q statistic $P = 0.09$; $I^2 = 45$	9.7%)		•	HR 0.62 (0.56–0.70
		0.25	0.5 1.0	2.0
		Fav	vors Treatment	Favors Placebo

Effects of SGLT2i on CKD Progression in CKD Trials								
Trial (SGLT2i)	Population	1° Outcome	RRR	Comments				
CREDENCE (cana)	DKD	CKD progression ^a	30% (p<0.001)	T2D only				
DAPA-CKD (dapa)	CKD	CKD progression ^b	39% (p<0.0001)	Effective in both T2D, non-DM				
EMPA-Kidney (empa)	CKD CKD progression ^c		28% (p<0.001)	Effective in both T2D, non-DM				
Meta-analysis 1* (4 trials, N=25,898)	CKD,DKD	CKD progression ^d	38% (95% CI: 31%, 44%)	Effective in both T2D, non-DM				
*includes SCORED	*includes SCORED a: doubling SCr, ESKD, renal/CV death							
	b: \ c: \	↓eGFR <u>></u> 50%, ESKD, renal/(↓eGFR >40%, ESKD, renal/(CV death	DKD=diabetic kidney disease; CKD=chronic kidney disease; T2D=type 2 diabetes, CV=cardiovascular, dapa=dapaeliflozin; empa=empaeliflozin; cana=canaeliflozin				
	d: v	varied (but exclusive of CV o	death)					
а				¹ Baignet C <i>et al. Lancet</i> 2022;400:1788-1801				

SGLT2 Inhibitor Use in Type 2 Diabetes

WHEN TO FAVOR

- T2D + HF
- T2D + CKD
- T2D + CVD*
- T2D + obesity[†]

* All other things being equal, GLP-1 RA may be preferred (especially in those with h/o stroke)

[†] All other things being equal, GLP-1 RA is preferred, due to larger effect on body weight/BMI

WHEN TO AVOID

- Recurrent GUIs (instrumentation)
- T1D, LADA & 'T1D-ish' (DKA-prone)
- Orthostatic hypotension
- Frail elderly (?)
- Advanced PAD with amputations (?)
- h/o Fournier's (?) (severe obesity, bed-bound, poor perineal hygiene)

GUIs = genitourinary infections LADA = latent autoimmune diabetes of adults











THE LANCET

Cardiovascular, Mortality, and Kidney Outcomes with GLP-1 Receptor Agonists in Patients with T2DM: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Trials

Sattar N et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2021;9:653-662

	Risk of	MACE	and eacl	n of its co	mponent	s	
Trial	GLP-1 receptor agonist n/N (%)	Placebo n/N (%)			Hazard ratio (95% CI)	NNT (95% CI)	p value
Three-component I TLEXA LEADER SUISTAIN-6 EXSCEL Harmony Outcome REWIND PIONEER 6 AMPLITUDE-O SUISTAIN-6 EXSCEL Harmony Outcome EXSCEL Harmony Outcome EXSCEL Harmony Outcome REWIND PIONEER 6 AMPLITUDE-O	MACE 400/304 (13%) 608/4688 (13%) 108/1648 (7%) 8339/356 (11%) 8339/356 (11%) 8339/4731 (7%) 61/1591 (4%) 156/3034 (5%) 219/4689 (5%) 219/4689 (5%) 317/4949 (5%) 317/4949 (5%) 15/1591 (1%)	392/3034 (13% 694/4672 (15% 146/1649 (9%) 905/7366 (12% 428/4732 (9%) 663/4922 (13% 76/1592 (5%) 125/1359 (9%) 82) 158/3034 (5%) 278/4672 (6%) 383/7396 (5%) 383/7396 (5%) 346/4952 (7%) 346/4952 (7%) 30/1592 (2%)			1.02 (0.89, 1.17) 0.87 (0.78, 0.97) 0.74 (0.58, 0.95) 0.74 (0.58, 0.50) 0.78 (0.68, 0.90) 0.78 (0.58, 0.10) 0.73 (0.58, 0.92) 0.86 (0.80, 0.93) 0.98 (0.78, 1.22) 0.78 (0.66, 0.43) 0.98 (0.65, 1.48) 0.88 (0.76, 1.02) 0.98 (0.65, 1.48) 0.88 (0.76, 1.02) 0.91 (0.78, 1.06) 0.91 (0.78, 1.06) 0.94 (0.27, 0.32) 0.72 (0.50, 1.03)	65 (45-130)	0.776 0.01 0.016 0.061 0.026 0.17 0.0069 <0.001 0.85 0.007 0.92 0.096 0.58 0.21 0.096 0.28 0.096 0.096 0.096 0.096 0.016 0.007 0.096 0.007 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.007 0.006 0.001 0.006 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.006 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.026 0.007 0.006 0.007 0.009 0.007 0.009 0.007 0.009 0.007 0.009 0.007 0.009 0.007 0.009 0.007 0.009 0.007 0.007 0.009 0.007 0.007 0.009 0.007
Subtotal (I-square - Fatal or non-fatal n ELIXA UEADER SUSTAIN-6 EXSCEL Harmony Outcome REWIND PIONEER 6 AMPLITUDE-0 Subtotal (I-square - Fatal or non-fatal s ELIXA LEADER	d = 13.4%, p = 0.3 nyocardial infarctio 270/0304 (9%) 54/1648 (3%) 483/7356 (7%) 37/1591 (2%) 37/1591 (2%) 91/2717 (3%) d = 26.9%, p = 0.2 troke 67/3034 (2%) 173/668 (4%)	25) n 261/3034 (9%) 339/4672 (7%) 67/1649 (4%) 493/7386 (7%) 231/4952 (5%) 231/4952 (5%) 231/4952 (5%) 235/1592 (2%) 58/1359 (4%) 14) 60/3034 (2%)			0.87 (0.80, 0.94) 1.03 (0.87, 1.22) 0.86 (0.73, 1.00) 0.81 (0.57, 1.16) 0.97 (0.85, 1.10) 0.75 (0.61, 0.90) 0.96 (0.79, 1.15) 1.04 (0.66, 1.66) 0.75 (0.54, 1.05) 0.90 (0.83, 0.98) 1.12 (0.79, 1.58) 0.86 (0.71, 1.166)	163 (103-353) 175 (103-878)	0.001 0.71 0.046 0.26 0.62 0.003 0.63 0.49 0.09 0.02 0.54 0.16
LEADEH SUSTAIN-6 EXSCEL Harmony Outcome REWIND PIONEER 6 AMPLITUDE-0 Subtotal (I-square NOTE: Weights are	17/34658 (4%) 30/1648 (2%) 187/7356 (3%) is 94/4731 (2%) 158/9494 (3%) 13/1591 (1%) 47/2717 (2%) d = 0.0%, p = 0.63 a from random effe	199/46/2 (4%) 46/1649 (3%) 218/7396 (3%) 108/4732 (2%) 205/4952 (4%) 17/1592 (1%) 31/1359 (2%) 9) ccts analysis			0.86 (0.71, 1.06) 0.85 (0.70, 1.03) 0.86 (0.70, 1.03) 0.86 (0.66, 1.14) 0.76 (0.62, 0.94) 0.76 (0.37, 1.56) 0.74 (0.47, 1.17] 0.83 (0.76, 0.92)	198 (140-421)	0.16 0.095 0.30 0.01 0.43 0.19 <0.001
			.5 Favours GLP-1RA	1 1.5 Favours placebo			

39

THE LANCET

Cardiovascular, Mortality, and Kidney Outcomes with GLP-1 Receptor Agonists in Patients with T2DM: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Trials



Sattar N et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2021;9:653-662

THE LANCET

Cardiovascular, Mortality, and Kidney Outcomes with GLP-1 Receptor Agonists in Patients with T2DM: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Trials

Sattar N et al. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2021;9:653-662







SURPASS 2: Change from Baseline Weight at 40 Weeks with Tirzepatide (GLP-1/GIP Receptor Co-agonist) vs. Semaglutide



Silvio Inzucchi, MD Type 2 Diabetes Update

GLP-1 (/ GIP) RA Use in Type 2 Diabetes

WHE<u>N TO FAVOR</u>

- T2D + CVD
- T2D + obesity
- $T2D + CKD^*$
- T2D + HFpEF[†]

All other things being equal, SGLT2i is preferred due to larger effect size based on hazard ratios from RCTs

[†] All other things being equal, SGLT2i is preferred due to benefits on harder clinical outcomes in RCTs

WHEN TO AVOID

- Normal weight (?)
- Gastroparesis
- Intestinal obstruction
- Chronic GI symptoms
- Medullary thyroid ca (or MEN-2)
- Pancreatitis (?)

RCT = randomized clinical trials MEN = multiple endocrine neoplasia

45



Majo	Major Glucose Lowering Drugs Classes for T2DM							
Classes	Generic Names	∳ A1c	Mechanism(s)	Positive(s)	Negative(s)	Cost		
Insulin	Degludec, Glargine, Detemir, NPH, Regular, Lispro, Aspart, Glulisine	No limit	Replaces deficient insulin supply	No ceiling; most titratable agent	Hypo, weight gain	highly variabl e		
SU's	Glyburide, Glipizide, Glimepiride	1-1.5%	↑ endogenous insulin production	Extensive experience	Hypo, weight gain	\$		
Metformin	Metformin	1-1.5%	↓ hepatic glucose production (? others)	±Wt loss, no hypo, ↓ CV events (?)	GI, lactic acidosis, B-12 deficiency	\$		
TZD's	Rosiglitazone, Pioglitazone*	1-1.5%	Enhances peripheral insulin sensitivity	Durability, no hypo, ↓ CV events*, ↓ NASH	Weight gain, edema, HF, bone fxs, ?bladder ca*	\$ - \$\$\$		
DPP-4 i's	Sitagliptin, Saxagliptin, Alogliptin, Linagliptin	0.5-1%	↓ DPP-4 activity and ↑ incretins (GLP1, GIP)	Well-tolerated; no hypo	Urticaria, ? pancreatitis, ? HF*	\$\$\$\$		
GLP-1 RA's	Exenatide, Liraglutide*, Dulaglutide*, Albiglutide*, Lixisenatide, Semaglutide*	1-1.5%	↑ insulin, ↓ glucagon, ↓ gastromotility, hunger	Wt loss, no hypo, ↓ BP, ↓ MACE*	GI, ? Pancreatic/ biliary disease, ? medullary thyroid ca	\$\$\$\$		
SGLT2-i's	Canagliflozin*†#*, Dapagliflozin†# Empagliflozin*†#, Ertugliflozin	0.5-1%	↑ urinary glucose excretion	Wt loss, no hypo, ↓ BP, ↓ MACE*, HF [†] , ↓ CKD [#]	Polyuria, GU, DKA, bone fxs [‡] , amputations [‡]	\$\$\$\$		

Major Glucose Lowering Drugs Classes for T2DM								
Classes	Generic Names	∳ A1c	Mechanism(s)	Positive(s)	Negative(s)	Cost		
Insulin	Degludec, Glargine, Detemir, NPH, Regular, Lispro, As NE Glulisine	JTRA	Replaces deficient Insulin supply	No ceiling; most titratable agent	Hypo, weight gain	highly variabl e		
SU's 🥏	Glyburide, Glipizide, Glimepiride	TRA	A endogenous A endogenous insulin production	Extensive experience	Hypo, weight gain			
Metformin	Metformin	1-1.5%	↓ hepatic gluc production (? others)	±Wt loss, no hypo, ↓ CV events (?)	GI, lactic acidosis, B-12 deficiency	\$		
TZD's	Rosiglitazone, Pioglitazone*	1-1.5%	Enhances perimersi insulin sensiti	Durability, no hypo, ↓ CV events*, ↓ NASH	Weight gain, edema, HF, bone fxs, ?bladder ca*	\$ - \$\$\$		
DPP-4 i's	Sitagliptin, Saxagliptin, Alogliptin, Linagliptin	JTRA	DPP-4 activity and incretins (GLP1, GIP)	Well-tolerated; no hypo	Urticaria, ? pancreatitis, ? HF*	\$\$\$\$		
GLP-1 RA's	Exenatide, Liraglutide*, Dulaglutide*, Albiglutide*, Lixisenatide, Semaglutide*	1-1.5%	↑ insulin, ↓ glucagon, ↓ gastromotility, hunger	Wt loss, yppo, ↓ BP, ↓ PF	GI, ? Pancreatic/ biliary disease, ? medullary thyroid ca	\$\$\$\$		
SGLT2-i's	Canagliflozin* ^{†#‡} , Dapagliflozin ^{†#} Empagliflozin* ^{†#} , Ertugliflozin	0.5-1%	↑ urinary gluc excretion	S, ypo,	Polyuria, GU, DKA, bone fxs [‡] , amputations [‡]	\$\$\$\$		





























T2D Update: Incorporating the Latest Strategies



- 1. There are a growing number of glucose-lowering agents for patients with T2D.
- 2. First, determine the optimal A1c target for each patient.
- 3. Then, select meds with a focus on their potential additional benefits on underlying comorbidities, including obesity. Metformin is no longer required as foundation therapy (but still often used in that manner.)
- 4. Data from SGLT2i and GLP-1RA trials have led to their positioning as favored in certain circumstances: ASCVD, HF, CKD.
- 5. Sequentially, add additional glucose-lowering meds until the HbA1c target is achieved, typically to a total of 3 (?4); then consider insulin.
- 6. Don't forget about other ways to reduce CV risk (BP, lipids, anti-platelet therapy).