What's New in Antibiotic Allergies?

David A. Khan, MD

Program Director, Allergy & Immunology Fellowship Program Professor of Medicine and Pediatrics University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Dallas, TX

CONTINUING EDUCATION COMPANY

Disclosure

I have no financial interests or relationships to disclose.

CONTINUING EDUCATION COMPANY











This patient developed this rash **30** minutes after taking amoxicillin







Which Patient Is at Risk for Anaphylaxis If Amoxicillin Is Administered Again?

- A. Patient A
- B. Patient B
- C. Both A & B
- D. Neither



В



CONTINUING EDUCATION COMPANY

	-		
	-		
-	-		

TABLE E1. Spectrum of cutaneous drug reactions	Less common cutaneous drug reactions
	Acanthosis nigricans
Common cutaneous drug reactions	Alopecia
Eventheme	Aphthous stomatitis
Examinentis	Black hairy tongue
Urticaria	Bullous eruptions
	Erythema nodosum
Angioedema	Exfoliative dermatitis
Fixed drug eruption	Gingival hyperplasia
Fixed drug cruption	Lichenoid eruptions
Pruritus	Lupus erythematosus
	Phototoxic/photoallergic
Acneform	Pigmentation
SCARs	Pityriasis rosea-like eruptions
	Psoriasis
DRESS	Purpura
SJS/TEN	Vasculitis
AGEP	

Khan DA. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2012;130:1225-e6.





















Non-Cutaneous Organ Specific Drug Allergic Reactions

	Clinical Features	Examples of causative agents
Hematologic	hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, granulocytopenia	penicillin, sulfonamides
Hepatic	hepatitis, cholestatic jaundice	sulfonamides, phenothiazines
Pulmonary	pneumonitis, fibrosis	nitrofurantoin, bleomycin, methotrexate
Renal	interstital nephritis, membranous glomerulonephritis	penicillin, sulfonamides, allopurinol

Khan DA, Solensky R. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2010;125(2 Suppl 2):S126-37.













.....

Therefore, ~75 would need to be tested to prevent one case



T-cell Infiltrate

on Biopsy

Vancomycin-Specific IFNy ELISpot Response

J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019;144(1):183-92





Practice parameter

Drug allergy: A 2022 practice parameter update

 David A. Khan, MD,^a Aleena Banerji, MD,^b Kimberly G. Blumenthal, MD, MSc,^b Elizabeth J. Phillips, MD,^{c,d}

 Roland Solensky, MD,^e Andrew A. White, MD,^f Jonathan A. Bernstein, MD,^g Derek K. Chu, MD, PhD,^{h,i,j}

 Anne K. Ellis, MD,^k David B. K. Golden, MD,¹ Matthew J. Greenhawt, MD,^m Caroline C. Horner, MD,ⁿ

 Dennis Ledford, MD,^{o,p} Jay A. Lieberman, MD,^q John Oppenheimer, MD,^r Matthew A. Rank, MD,^s

 Marcus S. Shaker, MD, MSc,^t David R. Stukus, MD,^{u,v} Dana Wallace, MD,^w and Julie Wang, MD^x

 Dallas, Tex;

 Boston, Mass; Murdoch, Australia; Nashville and Memphis, Tenn; Corvallis, Ore; San Diego, Calif; Cincinnati and Columbus, Ohio;

 Hamilton and Kingston, Ontario, Canada; Baltimore, Md; Aurora, Colo; St Louis, Mo; Tampa and Fort Lauderdale, Fla; Rutgers, NJ;

 Scottsdale, Ariz; Lebanon, NH; and New York, NY

https://www.jacionline.org/article/S0091-6749(22)01186-1/fulltext

Khan DA et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2022;150(6):1333-93.













2	-
- ≺	ъ
-	-

	PRACTICE CHANGER		
	Consensus Based Statement		
Proactive	 We recommend that a proactive effort should be made to delabel a penicillin allergy, if appropriate. 		
Penicillin Allerav	Strength of Recommendation		
Delabeling	• Strong		
	Certainty of Evidence		
	• Moderate		
Khan DA	et al. 1 Allerov Clin Immunol 2022:150(6):1333-93.		

No Need to Test Patients with Invalid Histories of Penicillin Allergy

Consensus Based Statement

• We recommend against testing in patients with a history inconsistent with penicillin allergy (such as headache or family history of penicillin allergy), but a 1-step amoxicillin challenge may be offered to patients who are anxious or request additional reassurance to accept the removal of a penicillin allergy label.

Strength of Recommendation

Strong

Certainty of Evidence

Moderate

Khan DA et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2022;150(6):1333-93.











JAMA Internal Medicine | Original Investigation

Development and Validation of a Penicillin Allergy Clinical Decision Rule

Jason A. Trubiano, MBBS, PhD; Sara Vogrin, MBBS, MBiostat; Kyra Y. L. Chua, MBBS, PhD; Jack Bourke, MBBS; James Yun, MBBS, PhD; Abby Douglas, MBBS; Cosby A. Stone, MD; Roger Yu, MD; Lauren Groenendijk, MD; Natasha E. Holmes, MBBS, PhD; Elizabeth J. Phillips, MD

in allergy reported by patient	If yes, proceed with assessment
ars or less since reaction ^a	2 points
laxis or angioedema	
OR	2 points
cutaneous adverse reaction ^b	
ent required for reaction ^a	1 point
	Total points
Interpretation	
f positive penicillin allergy test <1% (<1 in 1	00 patients reporting penicillin allergy)
itive penicillin allergy test 5% (1 in 20 patier	its)
of positive penicillin allergy test 20% (1 in 5	patients)
itive penicillin allergy test 50% (1 in 2 patie	nts)
	In or less since reaction ³

PEN-FAST Score=0, NPV of 99.4% PEN-FAST Score=3, NPV of 96.3%

PEN-FAST Score < 3 excluded severe allergies

JAMA Intern Med. 2020;180(5):745-52.

JAMA Internal Medicine | Original Investigation

Efficacy of a Clinical Decision Rule to Enable Direct Oral Challenge in Patients With Low-Risk Penicillin Allergy The PALACE Randomized Clinical Trial

Ana Maria Copaescu, MD; Sara Vogrin, MBiostat; Fiona James, BBiomedSci; Kyra Y. L. Chua, PhD; Morgan T. Rose, MBBS; Joseph De Luca, MBBS; Jamie Waldron, MD; Andrew Awad, MD; Jack Godsell, MBBS; Elise Mitri, BPharm; Belinda Lambros, MAdvNursPrac; Abby Douglas, PhD; Rabea Youcef Khoudja, MD; Ghislaine A. C. Isabwe, MD; Genevieve Genest, MD; Michael Fein, MD; Cristine Radojicic, MD; Ann Collier, MD; Patricia Lugar, MD; Cosby Stone, MD; Moshe Ben-Shoshan, MD; Nicholas A. Turner, MD; Natasha E. Holmes, PhD; Elizabeth J. Phillips, MD; Jason A. Trubiano, PhD

JAMA Intern Med. 2023;183(9):944-52.















In a Patient Who Developed Hives After Taking Penicillin, What Is the Risk of Reacting to a Cephalosporin?

A. 25%
B. 10%
C. 5%
D. <1%

CONTINUING EDUCATION COMPANY







roportion (%)

95% CI

0.00 [0.00; 11.22] 6.19 [2.30; 12.98]

0.19 [2:30, 12:36] 9.09 [1.92; 24.33] 2.90 [0.35; 10.08] 0.00 [0.00; 11.57] 0.00 [0.00; 15.44] 20.00 [4.33; 48.09]

> [0.00; 1.71 [1.12; 5.64

0.00 [0.00; 12.77] 2.82 [0.34; 9.81]

2.11 [0.98: 4.46]

0.00

2.78

0.00 [0.00

Original Article



If proven allergy to aminopenicillin risk of positive skin test to:

iom effects mode

geneity: $I^2 = 64\%$, $\tau^2 = 0.9673$, $\rho = 0.76$

aminocephalosporin is 16%

unrelated cephalosporin is 2%

1153

Picard M, et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2019;7(8):2722-38 e5.





Original Article			
Tolerability of with IgE-Media	Cefazolin and Ceftibuten ir ted Aminopenicillin Allerg	n Patient Y	S Onto Market
Antonino Romano, MD ^a , Rocc Donato Quaratino, MD ^d , and F	o Luigi Valluzzi, MD ^b , Cristiano Caruso, MD ^c , Alessand Francesco Gaeta, MD, PhD ^c Catania. Vatican City. and I	ra Zaffiro, MD^d, Rome. Italy	
131 subjects	• 98.5% aminopenicillin allergy , 78% with anaphylaxis	Ampicillin	Amino group
			R1 side chain
130/131 had negative cefazolin/ceftibuten	 1 subject (outlier) had positive skin tests to all PCN reagents, cephalosporins and 	Cefazolin	
skin tests	carbapenems		
120/120 2grood to		Ceftibuten	s
cefazolin/ceftibuten challenges	• All 129 had negative challenges		Cefazolin and ceftibuten R1 groups disparate from
			aminopenicillins
٦ ٨	lloray Clin Immunol Bract 2020,9(6),1	000 02 02	
J A		.909-93.62.	

	PRACTICE CHANGER		
	Consensus Based Statement		
Cephalosporin Administration with	 We suggest that for patients with a history of anaphylaxis to penicillin, a non-cross-reactive cephalosporin can be administered without prior testing. 		
Anaphylactic Penicillin Allergy History	Strength of Recommendation		
	Conditional		
	Certainty of Evidence		
	Moderate		
	Cefazolin ok even for anaphylactic penicillin allergy history		
	Khan DA et al. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2022;150(6):1333-93.		

















Sulfonamide Antibiotics Are Structurally Different than Non-Antimicrobial Sulfonamides



Chow TG, Khan DA. Clin Rev Allergy Immunol. 2022;62(3):400-12.





J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2020;8(2):757-60.e4.

195 non-HIV patients with sulfonamide allergy history

173 underwent 1-step challenge

22 underwent 2-step challenge

86% passed

Mayo Clinic study of 52 patients showed 96% passed challenge J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2022;10(4):1107-9.





Drug Desensitizations	Indicated for patients with:	
	 High likelihood or confirmed drug allergy In need of culprit drug where no alternative therapy exists 	
	Many Rapid Drug Desensitizations	
	 Antibiotics Chemotherapeutics, monoclonal Abs Aspirin Others 	

Step	Bag	Rate	Time†	Volume Infused	Dose Administered	Cumulative Dose
		ml/hr	min	ml	units	
1	1	2.0	15	0.50	200.0	200.0
2	1	5.0	15	1.25	500.0	700.0
3	1	10.0	15	2.50	1,000.0	1,700.0
4	1	20.0	15	5.00	2,000.0	3,700.0
5	2	5.0	15	1.25	5,000.0	8,700.0
6	2	10.0	15	2.50	10,000.0	18,700.0
7	2	20.0	15	5.00	20,000.0	38,700.0
8	2	40.0	15	10.00	40,000.0	78,700.0
9	3	10.0	15	2.50	98,032.5	176,732.5
10	3	20.0	15	5.00	196,065.0	372,797.5
11	3	40.0	15	10.00	392,130.0	764,927.5
12	3	80.0	61.875	82.50	3,235,072.5	4,000,000.0

Castells M, Khan DA, Phillips EJ. Penicillin Allergy. N Engl J Med. 2019;381(24):2338-51.

